Application No. Applicant(s) 10/626,645 PLACEK ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 1751 Amina Khan All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Stefan Koschmieder. (1) Amina Khan. (2) Margaret Einsmann. (4)_____ Date of Interview: 18 April 2006. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 1-30. Identification of prior art discussed: Liesen et al. (2004/0092409). Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner and the applicant's representative discussed amending the weight percentage of compound B in claim 1 to 55-99%, adjusting the percentage of compound A of claim 1 to 1-45% and bringing the claimed oxygen containing compounds of claim 30 into claim 1. Further we discussed adding claims requiring specific fire resistance properties. The applicant's attornery highlighted complications in fire resistance properties that arrise in compositions with mineral oils present.